APAA 2026, Hong Kong

Trademarks Committee – Special Topic

Challenges in Protecting Well-Known Marks Across Jurisdictions

INDEX

I. Background of Discussion

II. Questions

Part A: General Overview of the Concept and Framework of "Well-Known Marks"

Part B: Protection of Well-Known Marks by Preventing or Extinguishing Third Party's Registration

Part C: Enforcement Capabilities of "Well-Known Marks"

III. Discussion Based On Case Example

IV. Ideas for Next Special Topic

I. Background of Discussion

With the advancement of a borderless society, we have entered an era in which goods, people, and services circulate seamlessly across national borders. In this environment, establishing robust mechanisms for protecting well-known trademarks within the Asia-Oceania region has become a critical priority. We will discuss with members from 21 jurisdictions how to address the legal and practical challenges involved in protecting trademarks that enjoy significant recognition in their home countries but have not yet established a sufficient reputation in destination countries.

The rapid spread of information through social media has dramatically accelerated the recognition of product and service labels, making it impossible for trademark registration to keep pace. Furthermore, registering trademarks in every country and region where the information spreads is simply not feasible. On the other hand, businesses cannot allow unrelated third parties to freely use the brand names of businesses they have cultivated domestically. This paper examines how to address these issues at present.

II. Questions

Please answer the questions below. You can either check the box using ✓ or insert your answers in blue**.**

Part A: General Overview of the Concept and Framework of "Well-Known Marks"

Section 1: Definition

1. Does your country have a definition of a well-known trademark? If yes, please write it down below along with the legal provisions.

✓	Yes
	No

Definition of well-known mark (* Word limit: 100 words or less, if possible): Trademark Law (2019) Section 2 (p) said that Well-known mark means a mark that is well-known, in accordance with the stipulated standard, in the Republic of the Union of Myanmar.

2. Are there any laws or standards, other than trademark law, concerning the recognition of well-known trademarks?

✓	Unfair Competition Prevention Act
	Decisions of the Trial and Appeal Department of the Intellectual Property Office
	Court Decisions
	Doctrines adopted by the courts

3. In what types of procedures or situations is well-known status recognized?

	Examination of applications
✓	Oppositions
	Non-use cancellation trials
	Invalidation trials
	Infringement litigation
	Is there a specific system under which an applicant can formally apply for well-known status, or where a court or statutory authority can *suo motu* declare a mark as well-known? If the answer is yes, please identify the name of such specific system below: (e.g., Defensive mark registration) Comments: If the Trademark was opposed by third party for the opposition of the well-known mark, third party asked the question for the proof of the well-known, the third party (opposer) will need to be approved under the Trademark rule section 49(b) and also asked for the re-examination question, third party (opposer) will have to re-examination under the Trademark rule section 49(f).

Section 2: Assessment on Well-Known Trademarks

Please provide the factors considered when recognizing a well-known trademark
(To answer the questions below, please do not strictly consider the different levels of well-known status applied. If your answers differ depending on the distinct levels of recognition in your jurisdiction, please base your responses on the lowest level that can be recognized. For instance, in some jurisdictions, a mark may be recognized as well-known even if its reputation is limited to a specific region of a foreign country.)

1. Is the identity between the trademark claimed to be well known and the trademark actually used strictly examined?

✓	Yes
	No

2. Is whether a trademark is well known determined in relation to the goods or services for which the trademark is used?

✓	Yes
	No

3. In which country or region must a trademark be well known in order to be protected as a well-known trademark?

✓	It must be well-known in that target jurisdiction (your country)
	It is sufficient to be well-known ONLY in the brand owner's home country,

	while not in the target jurisdiction
	It is sufficient to be well-known ONLY in any third country

4. Regarding the geographical scope, is a trademark eligible for protection (during prosecution or enforcement) as a well-known trademark if it is recognized as below? Please check all that apply.

✓	Nationwide across the jurisdiction?
	Only regionally (in a specific region or more where the goods/services are provided)?
	Via the Internet, even if it is not necessarily known nationwide?

5. Regarding the relevant consumers required for well-known status, is it sufficient for protection if the trademark is recognized by: (Please select one or check all that apply)

✓	All consumers (the general public)?
	Only the relevant consumers of the specific goods or services?
	Only the relevant consumers, even if the goods or services are provided exclusively via the Internet?

6. What factors are considered in order for a trademark to be recognized as a well-known trademark?

	Period of use
	Area of use
✓	Sales amount
	Advertising and promotional expenses
	Person or entity that conducted the advertising and promotion
	Relevant consumers
	Market share
	Number of stores
	Awards received
	Number of posts on social media by third parties
	Results of recognition surveys conducted through questionnaires
	Number of visits to the website of the holder of the well-known trademark
	Others: (Please specify, if any:)

7. In proving that a foreign trademark is well known among consumers in your country, are the following matters useful?

✓	Possibility of access and access records to the website of the overseas trademark holder or user
	Access records to cross-border e-commerce sites
	Purchase records from cross-border e-commerce sites
	Number of inbound travelers
	Number of travelers from your country to the country where the well-known trademark exists

8. In recognizing the well-known status of a trademark, is use on the following tools or platforms considered as evidence?

	SNS platforms (Instagram, TikTok, YouTube, X, etc.)
✓	Online advertising
	Posts by third-party influencers who are not users of the trademark for which well-known status is claimed

9. Please describe any evidence or factors, other than those specified in Questions 6 through 8 above, useful in demonstrating that a trademark well-known abroad, despite not being well-known in your jurisdiction, nonetheless possesses a certain degree of recognition in your jurisdiction.

(* Word limit: 100 words or less, if possible):
Myanmar Trademark rules section 54 said that the Registrar shall not consider the following as a condition for determining whether the mark is well-known:
(a) that the mark has been used in, or that the mark has been registered or that an application for registration of the mark has been filed in or in respect of, the Union;
(b) that the mark is well-known in, or that the mark has been registered or that an application for registration of the mark has been filed in or in respect of, any jurisdiction other than the Union; or
(c) that the mark is well-known by the public at large in the State.

10. Is there a practice of using consumer surveys (questionnaires) when proving well-known status? If yes, what approximate recognition rate is required for a trademark to be recognized as well-known? (approximate percentage or range typically accepted in practice, if any / write "N/A" if determined case-by-case)

YES	1) In the case of consumer goods	80 %
	2) In the case of products for specialized markets (such as aircraft parts)	60%
	3) In the case of services	80 %
NO		

Part B: Protection of "Well-Known Marks" by Preventing or Extinguishing Third Party's Registration

1. Are there any provisions in the Trademark Act under which an unregistered well-known trademark can prevent, invalidate or cancel another party's trademark registration?

	Yes
✓	No

2. If your answer to Question 1 above is YES, what types of a third party's trademark applications/registrations can be prevented from being registered or eliminated? Please select all that apply from the following, and if possible, indicate the relevant legal provisions.

	A trademark identical or similar to an unregistered trademark that is well-known in your country, filed or registered for identical or similar designated goods or services
	A trademark likely to cause confusion with a trademark well-known in your country
	A trademark likely to cause confusion with a trademark well-known both in your country and in a foreign country
	A trademark likely to cause confusion with a trademark well-known only in a foreign country
	A trademark filed with an unfair purpose that would harm the interest of the owner of the well-known trademark
	A trademark filed in bad faith

3. Through what mechanisms can a third party's preemptive filing, misappropriated filing, filing by a former agent, bad-faith filing, etc. be prevented from being registered or removed after registration?

	Examiners' *ex officio* rejection (Office Action)
	Third-Party-Observation (Information submission)
	Opposition
✓	Cancellation trial → If there is any specific trial, please indicate: (TM 16 application form for Cancellation of the Registered Mark can submit to the Myanmar Trademark Department, the application will give the reason. If the approval is received from the Trademark Department, the third party will need to submit the proof documents while should be cancelled the registered mark)
	Invalidation trial
	Lawsuit

4. When preventing or invalidating/cancelling another party's trademark registration based on a well-known mark, is it a requirement that the well-known trademark **be registered** in your country?

✓	Yes
	No

5. When preventing or invalidating/cancelling another party's trademark registration based on a well-known mark, is it a requirement that the well-known trademark **be used** in your country?

✓	Yes
	No

6. In the case of a trademark that is well-known abroad but does not have a high degree of recognition in your country, are there any arguments or measures, other than the assertion of well-known status and submission of evidence described in Part A, Section 2, that would be useful for preventing or invalidating/cancelling a third party's trademark registration? For example, are the following arguments useful? Please select all that apply from the following.

	Actual confusion as to source
	Proof of the third party's bad faith
	Past business relationship
	Proof that the third party knew that the trademark was well-known abroad
✓	Others (example: Opposition can file due to this Trademark must be well-known in the country jurisdiction. If the mark has not yet registered in Myanmar, the mark has to do objection to the third party objection notice at the opposition time of Trademark Cautionary Notice publishing by the Trademark Journal.)

7. If a third party filed the application in bad faith, what evidence is effective to prove such bad faith? For example, do the following apply? Please select all that apply from the following.

✓	Existence of past transactions
	Filing applications for many other parties' famous trademarks
	Prior proposal of an agency agreement or other cooperative relationship
	Imitating the design of the goods or packaging
	Requesting a high price to sell the application/registration
	Others (example:)

8. If an application is filed or registered for dissimilar goods or services, can registration be prevented or invalidated/cancelled? Please describe any conditions.

✓	Yes
	No

Any comments (* Word limit: 100 words or less, if possible): The registered mark can submit the objection of the opposition with the future yearly plan of new class(es) for the registered class(es) dissimilar goods or services for the same mark registration to the IP office.

Part C: Enforcement Capabilities of "Well-Known Marks"

1. Which of the following can constitute a legal title or legal basis for asserting claims?

	Assertion of rights in a well-known trademark that is unregistered in your country
	A well-known trademark registered in your country
✓	Acts of unfair competition
	Passing off

	Dilution
	Tort under civil law
	Others (please specify:)

2. What legal remedies are available?

	Injunction
✓	Claim for damages
	Measures to restore business reputation (e.g. apology advertisement)
	Customs border measures
	Others (please specify:)

3. In order to obtain the legal protection listed below, is it necessary for the well-known trademark to be used in your country?

	Injunction
	Claim for damages
	Customs border measures
	Apology advertisement (or other measures to restore business reputation)
✓	Others (please specify: To submit the Trademark application for the Trademark registration to the Trademark Department, the IP office, Myanmar.)

4. In the case of a trademark that is well-known abroad but does not have a high degree of recognition in your country, which of the following can constitute a legal basis for asserting claims?

	Assertion of rights in a well-known trademark that is unregistered in your country
✓	A well-known trademark registered in your country
	Acts of unfair competition
	Passing off
	Dilution
	Tort under civil law
	Well-known or famous status overseas
	Assertion of the other party's bad faith
	Actual confusion as to source in the market
	Others (please specify:)

5. If there are any cases involving a trademark that is well known abroad but does not have a high degree of recognition in your country, where a third party registered the trademark first and the matter was disputed in an opposition, trial or appeal, infringement litigation, or similar proceeding, please briefly introduce one or two examples.

Case 1: Not yet decision from the Myanmar IP Court
Title:
Case number:
Date:
Brief Summary (* Word limit: 200 words or less)**:**

Case 2: Not yet decision from the Myanmar IP Court **Title:** **Case number:** **Date:** **Brief Summary** (* Word limit: 200 words or less)**:**

III. Discussion based on "Case Example"

We would like to discuss a hypothetical case with all of you. Based on the following hypothetical scenario, please consider and answer the questions that follow.

Part A. Case Example: Hotel APAA in Monaco, well known in Monaco and Europe

Hotel "APAA," located in the Principality of Monaco, is a well-known hotel in Monaco. However, the hotel has not opened any establishment in Japan. Hotel "APAA" has not obtained a trademark registration in Japan for "provision of hotel accommodation" in Class 43.

However, in order to attract tourists from Japan to stay at its hotel in Monaco, Hotel "APAA" allows accommodation reservations to be made online from Japan. It also advertises on Instagram and TikTok, and such advertisements are accessible to consumers in Japan.

In reality, however, only a very small number of people in Japan are aware of Hotel "APAA" in Monaco, and it is known only among a very limited segment of affluent consumers. The number of guests from Japan is approximately 20 per year.

Under these circumstances, X, a famous chef in Japan who has no relationship with Hotel "APAA," obtained a trademark registration in Japan for "APAA" in Class 43, not only for "provision of hotel accommodation," but also for "providing food and beverages." X had stayed at Hotel "APAA" in Monaco three years earlier. X uses "APAA" as the name of his own restaurant in Osaka, and the restaurant is successful.

Upon learning of this, Hotel "APAA" in Monaco hurriedly filed a trademark application in Japan in Class 43, designating "provision of hotel accommodation" and "providing food and beverages" as the designated services. However, the application was refused on the ground that it was identical to the trademark registration owned by X.

Hotel "APAA" is now considering seeking cancellation of X's trademark registration by asserting that "APAA" is a well-known trademark in Monaco in connection with "provision of hotel accommodation" in Class 43.

If you were acting as counsel for Hotel “APAA,” on what grounds would you seek to cancel X’s trademark registration?

Please note that the opposition period has already expired.

Part B. Questions

Please answer the following questions under the laws and practice of your own jurisdiction, assuming the same factual circumstances occurred in your country (replacing Japan/Osaka with your country/city).

1. Is it possible to initiate an invalidation or cancellation trial against X’s registration under the Trademark Act?

✓	Yes
	No

2. If your answer to Question 1 is YES, what grounds for invalidation or cancellation may be considered?

	A trademark identical or similar to an unregistered trademark well known in the country (Japan), and filed for identical or similar goods or services
✓	A trademark likely to cause confusion with a trademark well-known **both** in the country (Japan) and abroad
	A trademark likely to cause confusion with a trademark well-known **only abroad**
	A trademark filed for an improper purpose that harms the interest of the owner of the well-known trademark
	A trademark filed in bad faith
	Prior use

3. Given that online reservations are available for Japanese customers and a small number of Japanese tourists stay at the hotel, can it be said that Hotel "APAA" is using the trademark "APAA" in Japan to establish well-known status in Japan?

	Yes
✓	No

4. Is it also possible to invalidate or cancel X’s trademark registration with regard to the services “providing food and beverages”?

	Yes
✓	No

5. If your answer to Question 4 is YES, what grounds for invalidation or cancellation may be considered?

✓	A trademark identical or similar to an unregistered trademark well known in the country (Japan), and filed for identical or similar goods or services
	A trademark likely to cause confusion with a trademark well-known **both** in the country (Japan) and abroad
	A trademark likely to cause confusion with a trademark well-known **only abroad**
	A trademark filed for an improper purpose that harms the interest of the owner of the well-known trademark
	A trademark filed in bad faith
	Prior use

6. Is it possible to claim an act of unfair competition against X's use of the "APAA" mark for his restaurant in Osaka?

	Yes
✓	No

7. If your answer to Question 6 is YES, what legal grounds may be considered?

✓	Passing off
	Dilution
	Fraudulent conduct under civil law
	Well-known status abroad
	Allegation of the other party's bad faith
	Actual confusion in the market
	Others (please specify:)

Comments, if any (* Word limit: 100 words or less, if possible):
Passing of action can take the action to the X's use of the "APAA" mark for his restaurant in Oska, "APAA" mark has been registered in Japan. Registered "APAA" mark will be well-known mark in Japan but the "APAA" mark will need to proof of the well-known in Japan for used of mark in Japan.

IV. Ideas for Next Special Topic

Please provide some ideas (at least one for each country) for the 2027 Special Topic that you would like to discuss.

"Strategies for the Trademark Protection"

Khine Khine U
Myanmar